

Groundwater Advisory Committee
Options Paper
for
November 15 Ballot

Date: November 14, 2007

From (list GAC members): Bob Nauta, Jodi Habush Sinykin

Title (Subject): Modify the definition of spring

DISCUSSION:

Following discussions with Wisconsin hydrogeologists as well as presentations to the GAC and a review of available data on springs in Wisconsin, we have concluded that both the definition of spring and the criteria applied to the protection of a spring need modification.

As the “Springs” subcommittee has shown in presentations this year, many springs have both aesthetic and ecological value, but do not meet or exceed the current 1 cubic foot per second (“cfs”) discharge threshold. As indicated by the Wisconsin Wildlife Federation (*Inventory of Wisconsin Springs*, August 2007), “Springs are valuable features of many ecosystems, supplying water for many diverse habitats including streams, fen-meadows and wetlands. These spring habitats often harbor endangered and threatened species...Springs provide the necessary habitat of cool, oxygen-rich water essential for trout survival.”

Data are also available to indicate that development, particularly high capacity pumping, has had an adverse impact on spring-fed water features. As the WWF report indicates, “Spring-fed streams such as the Little Plover River and Bloody Run Creek in central Wisconsin occasionally go dry and have had flow regimes greatly reduced.”

The subcommittee and its technical advisory group have also shown that the area in which groundwater flows to a spring can be complex and governed by local geology, and that a pre-determined area of protection based solely on distance is not scientifically valid.

Many, if not most, trout streams are fed by springs, very few of which approach the 1 cfs threshold. Because trout streams were determined in 2003 Act 310 to be worthy of special protection, it seems to us to be illogical to omit springs from comparable, if not greater, protection.

RECOMMENDATION:

Therefore, it is our recommendation that the Legislature direct to DNR to revise Wisconsin Administrative Code ch. NR 820 as follows:

- Definition of spring: Any natural groundwater discharge at the ground surface of 0.25 cfs or more, with no reference to a Q80 evaluation.
- For permit review, use the discharge based on the most recent historical measurement or estimate available.

Groundwater Advisory Committee
Options Paper
for
November 15 Ballot

- If the historical measurement is disputed:
 - A. If a single new measurement of flow is less than 0.125 CFS (or 50% of the threshold above), then the spring does not meet the test.
 - B. OR, use an arithmetic average of at least 6 flow measurements collected over a period of 1 year with an average measurement interval greater than 30 days.
- DNR may apply more rigorous criteria if the discharge is less than the flow threshold but the spring has significant other ecological, biological, or historical significance.

Radius of concern: The applicant must approximate the capture zone of the spring, based on available information, and include a map showing the estimated capture zone and the proposed well location, in the well approval application. Rationale for the estimation of the capture zone is to be provided, as well. If the WDNR does not concur with the estimated capture zone, the applicant has the option of conducting additional studies.

The proposed well would then be evaluated in consideration of the NR 820 definition of significant adverse environmental impacts, utilizing standard hydrogeologic/biologic analyses. In the event that an applicant does not concur with the DNR's conclusions (and resulting restrictions), the applicant has the option of conducting additional site-specific evaluations, and negotiate a more favorable approval.

Furthermore, we recommend the following:

1. Funding be provided for a long-term program to maintain and update a springs database. This data base should be made available to the public.
2. Initially, all spring sites compiled in the *Wisconsin Springs Inventory* (Wisconsin Wildlife Federation) and in *Assessing the Ecological Status and Vulnerability of Springs in Wisconsin* (Swanson, S.K., Bradbury, K.R., and Hart, D.J.) will be included in the spring category. This database should be updated in a 2-year project by the DNR. Limited Term Employees ("LTEs") will be utilized to field-verify flow rates of springs to which the WWF did not have or was not granted access. Funding for this work is available through the existing Groundwater Management Area fund, which has not yet been utilized.
3. The LTEs will also be instructed to note the environments associated with the individual springs (e.g., trout streams, wetland, etc.).